CANCELLED

(4)

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 4086 (C) /2006

PETITIONER : Ajay Kumar Sahu,
S/o Shri Madhoram Sahu,
aged about 25 years,
Occupation- Mining Contractor,
R/o C/o Shri Jugal Kishore Sahu,
Village- Torla, Post- Bhurka,
Tahsil- Abhanpur,
District- Raipur (C.G.)

- Versus-

P.R. No. 41576
Presented by Shri A. Khan
dated 1/8/06

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary, Department of
Mines, Mantralaya, D. K. S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.).

2. Union of India,
Through Secretary, Ministry of Mines,
Govt. of India, Shastri Bhawan,
New Delhi.

3. Competent Authority,
Ministry of Mines,
Govt. of India (under Section 54 of
The Mineral Concession Rules, 1960),
Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

4. Collector,
Raipur (C.G.).

5. M/s Chhattisgarh Minerals,
Through its proprietor, Mohammad
Asgar, aged about 40 years,
S/o non known, R/o Babla Complex,
G.E. Road, Raipur (C.G.).

RECD-COPY
1/8/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-2073/96
01/08/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

R/C by
01-08-06
Rajneesh Kumar Shrivastava

THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

(5)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC.OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

(76)

## HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

---

**Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

---

W.P. (C) No. 4086 of 2006

Petitioner : Ajay Kumar Sahu

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

---

Present: Mr. Prashant Jaiswal, Senior counsel with Mr. Sankalp Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner.
Ms. Deepali Pandey, Panel Lawyer for the State/ respondents No. 1 and 4.
Mrs. B. Maity, Standing counsel for Union of India.
Mr. Sumesh Bajaj, counsel for the respondent No. 5.

---

ORDER

(Passed on 15th Day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has preferred a revision before Competent Authority-respondent No. 3 against the order dated 23-11-2005 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Under Secretary, Mineral Resources Department, Government of Chhattisgarh.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this petition with a direction to the respondent No. 3-Competent Authority to consider and decide the pending representation within the stipulated time, to which learned counsel appearing for the other side have no objection. It is ordered accordingly.

3. The respondent No. 3-Competent Authority is accordingly directed to consider and decide his pending representation No. 12(6)/2006/R.C.two, New Delhi, dated 30.6.2006 (Annexure P/5)

within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

4. The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn with the above stated liberty. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash